Total survey respondents: 2006 Response rate: 95.6 %

Engaging and Supporting All Students in Learning

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

1. Use knowledge of students' strengths and prior experiences to engage	1966	98.0%		
them in learning	DNR 40	2.0%		
1 = Not at all	2	0.1%	4.40	
2 = Poorly	18	0.9%	0.75	SI
3 = Adequately	247	12.6%		
4 = Well	614	31.2%		
5 = Very well	1085	55.2%		
2. Connect elegaroom learning to the week world	1963	97.9%		
2. Connect classroom learning to the real world	DNR 43	2.1%		
1 = Not at all	6	0.3%	4.32	М
2 = Poorly	40	2.0%	0.82	SI
3 = Adequately	285	14.5%		
4 = Well	626	31.9%		
5 = Very well	1006	51.2%		
3. Engage students in inquiry, problem solving, and reflection to promote	1953	97.4%		
their critical thinking	DNR 53	2.6%		
1 = Not at all	7	0.4%	4.28	м
2 = Poorly	49	2.5%	0.84	
3 = Adequately	298	15.3%		
4 = Well	639	32.7%		
5 = Very well	960	49.2%		
	1952	97.3%		
4. Meet the instructional needs of English learners	DNR 54	2.7%		
1 = Not at all	5	0.3%	4.22	М
2 = Poorly	52	2.7%	0.85	
3 = Adequately	351	18.0%		
4 = Well	640	32.8%		
5 = Very well	904	46.3%		
5. Identify and address special learning needs with appropriate teaching	1957	97.6%		
strategies	DNR 49	2.4%		
1 = Not at all	4	0.2%	4.46	М
2 = Poorly	24	1.2%	0.74	
3 = Adequately	203	10.4%		
4 = Well	560	28.6%		
5 = Very well	1166	59.6%		

Creating and Maintaining Effective Environments for Student Learning

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

6. Engage students in cooperative group work as well as independent		1953	97.4%
learning		DNR 53	2.6%
1 =	Not at all	4	0.2%
2 =	Poorly	31	1.6%
3 =	Adequately	260	13.3%
4 =	Well	656	33.6%
5 =	Very well	1002	51.3%

4.34 **Mean** 0.78 **SD**

Total survey respondents: 2006 Response rate: 95.6 %

7. Establish and maintain a	safe and respectful learning environment for all	1	1954	97.4%		
students		DNR	52	2.6%		
1 =	Not at all		2	0.1%	4.49	Mean
2 =	Poorly		19	1.0%	0.72	SD
3 =	Adequately		194	9.9%		
4 =	Well		551	28.2%		
5 =	Very well	1	1188	60.8%		
		-	1945	97.0%		
8. Create a productive learn students	ing environment with high expectations for all	DNR	61	3.0%		
	Not at all		3	0.2%	4 43	Mean
_			25			I
	Poorly			1.3%	0.74	SD
3 =	Adequately		205	10.5%		
4 =	Well		607	31.2%		
5 =	Very well	1	1105	56.8%		
				2.5.20/		
	ns by intervening early using strategies matched]	1943	96.9%		
to student's current learning	g and behavior level	DNR	63	3.1%		
1 =	Not at all		5	0.3%	4.29	Mean
2 =	Poorly		44	2.3%	0.83	SD
3 =	Adequately		301	15.5%		
4 =	Well		631	32.5%		
5 =	Very well		962	49.5%		

Understanding and Organizing Subject Matter for Student Learning

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

10. Develop curriculum to teach content standards effectively		1789	89.2%		
10. Develop curriculum to te	each content standards effectively	DNR 217	10.8%		
1 =	Not at all	13	0.7%	4.16	Mean
2 =	Poorly	56	3.1%	0.88	SD
3 =	Adequately	325	18.2%		
4 =	Well	626	35.0%		
5 =	Very well	769	43.0%		
		1704	00.40/		
	al strategies to teach specific subject matter and	1794	89.4%		
skills		DNR 212	10.6%		
1 =	Not at all	5	0.3%	4.28	Mean
2 =	Poorly	30	1.7%	0.80	SD
3 =	Adequately	277	15.4%		
4 =	Well	623	34.7%		
5 =	Very well	859	47.9%		
12. Select, adapt, and deve	lop materials, resources, and technologies to	1792	89.3%		
make subject matter access	ible to all students	DNR 214	10.7%		
1 =	Not at all	2	0.1%	4.31	Mean
2 =	Poorly	37	2.1%	0.80	SD
3 =	Adequately	258	14.4%		
4 =	Well	603	33.6%		
5 =	Very well	892	49.8%		

Total survey respondents: 2006 Response rate: 95.6 %

13. Expand expertise with evidence-based instructional and assis	stive 178	89.1%		
technology to support student access to challenging content	DNR 21	3 10.9%		
1 = Not at all	1	0.6%	4.25	Mean
2 = Poorly	4	5 2.5%	0.83	SD
3 = Adequately	26	1 14.6%		
4 = Well	65	1 36.4%		
5 = Very well	82	1 45.9%		

Planning Instruction and Designing Learning Experiences for All Students

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

14. Plan instruction based on students' prior knowledge, academic readiness,	1788	89.1%		
language proficiency, cultural background, and individual development	DNR 218	10.9%		
1 = Not at all	5	0.3%	4.35	Mean
2 = Poorly	30	1.7%	0.78	SD
3 = Adequately	221	12.4%		
4 = Well	617	34.5%		
5 = Very well	915	51.2%		
45.00	1789	89.2%		
15. Plan and adapt instruction that incorporates appropriate strategies, resources and technologies to meet the learning needs of all students	DNR 217	10.8%		
1 = Not at all	5	0.3%	4 35	Mean
2 = Poorly	30	1.7%	0.78	
3 = Adequately	217	12.1%	0.70	SD
4 = Well	617	34.5%		
5 = Very well	920	51.4%		
5 = very wen	320	31.4 /0		
16. Develop IFSP/IEP goals and objectives that are measurable and	1786	89.0%		
obtainable	DNR 220	11.0%		
1 = Not at all	6	0.3%	4.27	Mean
2 = Poorly	54	3.0%	0.85	
3 = Adequately	271	15.2%		
4 = Well	581	32.5%		
5 = Very well	874	48.9%		
17. Plan for instruction by incorporating all relevant IFSP/IEP information	1779	88.7%		
behavior and academic information	DNR 227	11.3%		
1 = Not at all	7	0.4%	4.24	Mean
2 = Poorly	55	3.1%	0.85	SD
3 = Adequately	273	15.3%		
4 = Well	616	34.6%		
5 = Very well	828	46.5%		
	.=0.			
18. Ensure students with exceptionalities receive appropriate instruction and	1781	88.8%		
support within the least restrictive environment	DNR 225	11.2%		
1 = Not at all	4	0.2%		Mean
2 = Poorly	26	1.5%	0.76	SD
3 = Adequately	203	11.4%		
4 = Well	591	33.2%		
5 = Very well	957	53.7%		

Total survey respondents: 2006 Response rate: 95.6 %

Assessing Students for Learning

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

	1722	06.30/		
19. Develop and use assessment data from a variety of sources to establish learning goals and to plan, differentiate, and modify instruction	1732 DNR <i>274</i>	86.3% <i>13.7</i> %		
1 = Not at all	2 2	0.1%	A 31	
2 = Poorly	33	1.9%	0.78	Mean
3 = Adequately	235	13.6%	0.76	SD
344.11	613	35.4%		
·	849	49.0%		
5 = Very well	049	49.0%		
20. Involve all students in self-assessment, goal setting, and monitoring	1708	85.1%		
progress	DNR 298	14.9%		
1 = Not at all	6	0.4%		Mean
2 = Poorly	48	2.8%	0.84	SD
3 = Adequately	284	16.6%		
4 = Well	632	37.0%		
5 = Very well	738	43.2%		
	1720	85.7%		
21. Give productive feedback to students to guide their learning	DNR 286	14.3%		
1 = Not at all	5	0.3%	4.29	Mean
2 = Poorly	31	1.8%	0.80	
3 = Adequately	253	14.7%		
4 = Well	609	35.4%		
5 = Very well	822	47.8%		
22. Collect and utilize data to ensure educational benefit when aligning assessment data with goals and services within the least restrictive	1726	86.0%		
environment	DNR 280	14.0%		
1 = Not at all	4	0.2%	4 30	Mean
2 = Poorly	31	1.8%	0.79	
3 = Adequately	246	14.3%	0.75	SD
4 = Well	613	35.5%		
5 = Very well	832	48.2%		
3 = 1017 Hell				
23. Appropriately modify and accommodate state and local assessments	1725	86.0%		
based on students' learning and accessibility needs	DNR 281	14.0%		
1 = Not at all	15	0.9%		Mean
2 = Poorly	40	2.3%	0.85	SD
3 = Adequately	261	15.1%		_
4 = Well	610	35.4%		
5 = Very well	799	46.3%		

Developing as a Professional Educator

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

24. Evaluate the effects of your actions on student learning and modify plans		1731	86.3%
accordingly	, ,	DNR 275	13.7%
1 = No	ot at all	5	0.3%
2 = Poo	porly	21	1.2%
$3 = \overline{Ad}$	dequately	233	13.5%
4 = We	ell	625	36.1%
5 = Ve	ery well	847	48.9%

4.32 *Mean* 0.77 *SD*

Preliminary Educational Specialist Credential Program Completer Survey - 2018

Statewide Results

Total survey respondents: 2006 Response rate: 95.6 %

25. Work with families to better understand students and to support their		1727	86.1%		
learning		DNR 279	13.9%		
1 =	Not at all	8	0.5%	4.23	Mean
2 =	Poorly	41	2.4%	0.84	SD
3 =	Adequately	281	16.3%		
4 =	Well	616	35.7%		
5 =	Very well	781	45.2%		
26. Work with colleagues to	improve instruction	1726	86.0%		
20. Work with coneagues to		DNR 280	14.0%		
1 =	Not at all	7	0.4%	4.31	Mean
2 =	Poorly	38	2.2%	0.81	SD
3 =	Adequately	233	13.5%		
4 =	Well	588	34.1%		
5 =	Very well	860	49.8%		
27. Provide a continuum of	support for consultation, collaboration, co-	1725	86.0%		
teaching to mentoring with	multi or interdisciplinary team members	DNR 281	14.0%		
1 =	Not at all	9	0.5%	4.30	Mean
2 =	Poorly	34	2.0%	0.81	SD
3 =	Adequately	232	13.4%		
4 =	Well	606	35.1%		
5 =	Very well	844	48.9%		

Content

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

28. Teach my content area(s) according to California academic content	1711	85.3%		
standards in my grade(s)	, 3	DNR 295	14.7%		
1 =	Not at all	17	1.0%	4.22	Mean
2 =	Poorly	38	2.2%	0.85	SD
3 =	Adequately	262	15.3%		
4 =	Well	634	37.1%		
5 =	Very well	760	44.4%		
29. Contribute to students' i	reading skills including comprehension in my	1715	85.5%		
subject area		DNR 291	14.5%		
1 =	Not at all	10	0.6%	4.29	Mean
2 =	Poorly	35	2.0%	0.81	SD
3 =	Adequately	227	13.2%		
4 =	Well	617	36.0%		
5 =	Very well	826	48.2%		
	ire subject matter skills that contribute to future	1710	85.2%		
success in life, college, and	career	DNR 296	14.8%		
1 =	Not at all	14	0.8%	4.23	Mean
2 =	Poorly	35	2.0%	0.84	SD
3 =	Adequately	264	15.4%		
4 =	Well	629	36.8%		
5 =	Very well	768	44.9%		

Total survey respondents: 2006 Response rate: 95.6 %

31. Anticipate and address	the needs of students who are at risk of dropping	1680	83.7%		
out		DNR 326	16.3%		
1	■ Not at all	38	2.3%	3.99	Mean
2	= Poorly	82	4.9%	0.99	SD
3	Adequately	354	21.1%		
4	= Well	583	34.7%		
5	Very well	623	37.1%		
	Field Experiences				
32. Which of the following	best describes the kind of clinical experience you	1729	86.2%		
nad during your preparation	on? (check all that apply):	DNR 277	13.8%		
	Student teaching with a cooperating teacher	640	31.9%		
	Teaching fellow or intern in a program where I served as teacher of record while taking courses for my credential				
		1030	51.3%		
	Teaching on an emergency credential [PIP/ STP] while taking courses for my credential		10.637		
	ag sources for my creating	257	12.8%		
33. How often did prepara	tion program faculty or staff communicate with	1724	85.9%		
	means about your teaching practice?	DNR 282	14.1%		
1	Less than once per month	50	2.9%	3.92	Mean
2	Once per month	158	9.2%	1.17	
3	Twice per month	378	21.9%		
4	Once per week	545	31.6%		
5	2-3 times per week	484	28.1%		
6	= Daily	109	6.3%		
34. How often did prepara	tion program faculty or supervisors observe your	1717	85.6%		
classroom instruction and	provide feedback during your clinical practice?	DNR 289	14.4%		
1	Once or twice	66	3.8%	3.37	Mean
2	= 3-5 times	438	25.5%	1.36	SD
3	= 6-10 times	556	32.4%		
4	11-15 times	287	16.7%		
5	16-20 times	184	10.7%		
6	More than 20 times	186	10.8%		
· ·					
		170.1	OF C0/		
	elped me integrate and apply the major ideas	1724	85.9%		
developed through progra		DNR 282	14.1%		
_	= Strongly Disagree	22	1.3%		Mean
_	Disagree	18	1.0%	0.75	SD
_	= Neutral	96	5.6%		
4	= Agree	689	40.0%		

899

52.1%

Date: 1/30/2019

5 = Strongly Agree

Total survey respondents: 2006 Response rate: 95.6 %

		1656	82.6%		
36. My cooperating teacher(s	s) (select all that apply):	DNR 350	17.4%		
	Frequently observed my teaching and met with me to offer feedback	1176	EQ 60/		
-	Was an excellent educator and a valuable role model	1176 1227	58.6%		
-	Modeled effective practices	1129	56.3%		
-	Helped me plan and organize curriculum materials	1109	55.3%		
-	Offered useful strategies and advice about my teaching	1349	67.2%		
-	Helped me reflect on my practice	1270	63.3%		
-	Helped me to solve teaching problems	1236	61.6%		
ī	Was knowledgeable about and able to provide support				
	for field-based assignments	1283	64.0%		
37. Approximately how much	time did you spend in student teaching (in the	1714	85.4%		
	eacher) as part of your supervised fieldwork?	DNR 292	14.6%		
1 = 1	Less than 100 hours	52	7.0%	3.34	Mean
2 = 3	100 - 299 hours	116	15.7%	1.18	
3 = 3	300 - 599 hours	266	35.9%		
4 = 9	600 - 799 hours	143	19.3%		
5 = 8	800 hours or more	164	22.1%		
-	This question does not apply to me*	973	-		
38 Approximately how much	time did you spend in <i>an internship</i>	1365	68.0%		
, ,	ord as part of your fieldwork?	DNR 641	32.0%		
-	Less than 100 hours	16	1.5%	4.51	Mean
	100 - 299 hours	54	4.9%	0.95	
3 = 3	300 - 599 hours	97	8.8%		
4 = 6	600 - 799 hours	123	11.2%		
5 = ⁸	800 hours or more	813	73.7%		
-	Does not apply/I was not in an internship $_{\ast}$	262	-		
20.76					
	ernship placement, how often did your ur classroom instruction and provide feedback	1372	68.4%		
and assistance during your cl	•	DNR 634	31.6%		
-	Once or twice	62	5.6%	3.81	Mean
	3-5 times	182	16.5%	1.55	
	6-10 times	284	25.8%		JD
-	11-15 times	188	17.1%		
· -	16-20 times	148	13.4%		
	More than 20 times	238	21.6%		
0	Does not apply/I was not in an internship *	270			

Content for Special Education: English Language Arts

In your teacher preparation program, how much opportunity did you have to do each of the following?

40.)			74.8%
40a) Learn ways to teach decoding skills		DNR 506	25.2%
1 =	None	46	3.1%
2 =	Touched on it Briefly	139	9.3%
3 =	Spent Time Discussing or Doing	334	22.3%
4 =	Explored in Some Depth	542	36.1%
5 =	Extensive Opportunity	439	29.3%

3.79 **Mean**1.06 **SD**

Total survey respondents: 2006 Response rate: 95.6 %

		. =	==		
40b) Learn ways to b	uild student interest and motivation to read	1506 DNR 500	75.1% 24.9%		
	1 = None	20	1.3%	3.99	Mean
	2 = Touched on it Briefly	92	6.1%	0.96	
	3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing	311	20.7%		30
	4 = Explored in Some Depth	541	35.9%		
	5 = Extensive Opportunity	542	36.0%		
	3 -				
		1502	74.9%		
40c) Learn how to he	elp students make predictions to improve comprehension	DNR 504	25.1%		
	1 = None	28	1.9%	3.88	Mean
	2 = Touched on it Briefly	121	8.1%	1.01	I
	3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing	336	22.4%		
	4 = Explored in Some Depth	540	36.0%		
	5 = Extensive Opportunity	477	31.8%		
		1490	74.3%		
40d) Learn how to su	ipport older students in learning to read	DNR 516	25.7%		
	1 = None	70	4.7%	3.64	Mean
	2 = Touched on it Briefly	185	12.4%	1.14	
	3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing	357	24.0%		
	4 = Explored in Some Depth	481	32.3%		
	5 = Extensive Opportunity	397	26.6%		
40a) Laara waya ta t	each reading and writing to students at different stages	1497	74.6%		
or reading abilities	each reading and writing to students at different stages	DNR 509	25.4%		
3 · · · · · ·	1 = None	26	1.7%	3.97	Mean
	2 = Touched on it Briefly	98	6.5%	1.00	
	3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing	324	21.6%		30
	4 = Explored in Some Depth	496	33.1%		
	5 = Extensive Opportunity	553	36.9%		
	3 - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
		1506	75.1%		
40f) Learn how to ac	tivate students' prior knowledge	DNR 500	24.9%		
	1 = None	13	0.9%	4 15	Mean
	2 = Touched on it Briefly	55	3.7%	0.91	
	3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing	283	18.8%	0.51	30
	4 = Explored in Some Depth	499	33.1%		
	5 = Extensive Opportunity	656	43.6%		
	3 - Extensive opportunity	030	131070		
		1492	74.4%		
40g) Listen to an ind his/her reading achie	ividual child read aloud for the purpose of assessing	DNR 514	25.6%		
may not reading actile	No	50		4.02	
	1 = None 2 = Touched on it Briefly	79	3.4%		Mean
	Count Time Discussion on Daine	284	5.3%	1.06	SD
	4 = Explored in Some Depth 5 = Extensive Opportunity	464	31.1%		
	5 = Extensive Opportunity	615	41.7%		

Total survey respondents: 2006 Response rate: 95.6 %

	1496	74.6%		
40h) Plan and teach a guided reading lesson	DNR 510	25.4%		
1 = None	39	2.6%	4.02	Mean
2 = Touched on it Briefly	90	6.0%	1.04	I .
3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing	286	19.1%		
4 = Explored in Some Depth	462	30.9%		
5 = Extensive Opportunity	619	41.4%		
	1492	74.4%		
40i) Learn to teach students to organize their ideas prior to writing	DNR 514	25.6%		
1 = None	40	2.7%	3.85	Mean
2 = Touched on it Briefly	139	9.3%	1.07	
3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing	322	21.6%		
4 = Explored in Some Depth	491	32.9%		
5 = Extensive Opportunity	500	33.5%		
40i) Hee student reading accomment requite to address student read-	1492	74.4%		
40j) Use student reading assessment results to address student needs and improve your teaching	DNR 514	25.6%		
1 = None	30	2.0%	4.08	Mean
2 = Touched on it Briefly	73	4.9%	0.98	
3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing	265	17.8%		32
4 = Explored in Some Depth	505	33.8%		
5 = Extensive Opportunity	619	41.5%		
40k) Practice what you learned about teaching reading in your field experiences	1499 DNR 507	74.7% 25.3%		
1 = None	40	2.7%	4.11	Mean
2 = Touched on it Briefly	71	4.7%	1.02	SD
3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing	260	17.3%		
4 = Explored in Some Depth	447	29.8%		
5 = Extensive Opportunity	681	45.4%		
401) (1) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1496	74.6%		
40I) Study state standards for reading/language arts	DNR 510	25.4%		
1 = None	28	1.9%	3.99	Mean
2 = Touched on it Briefly	110	7.4%	1.02	SD
3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing	282	18.9%		
4 = Explored in Some Depth	505	33.8%		
5 = Extensive Opportunity	571	38.2%		
40m) Study, critique or adapt reading curriculum materials	1499 DNR 507	74.7% 25.3%		
1 = None	46	3.1%		Mean
2 = Touched on it Briefly	112	7.5%	1.07	SD
3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing	301	20.1%		
4 = Explored in Some Depth	487	32.5%		
5 = Extensive Opportunity	553	36.9%		

Total survey respondents: 2006 Response rate: 95.6 %

Content for Special Education: Mathematics

In your teacher preparation program, how much opportunity did you have to do each of the following?

	1464	73.0%		
41a) Learn typical difficulties students have with place value		27.0%		
1 = None	162	11.1%	3.34	Mean
$2 = \frac{1}{1}$ Touched on it Briefly	236	16.1%	1.28	
3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing	332	22.7%		
4 = Explored in Some Depth	413	28.2%		
5 = Extensive Opportunity	321	21.9%		
41b) Learn typical difficulties students have with fractions	1462	72.9%		
	DNR 544	27.1%		
1 = None	180	12.3%	3.27	Mean
2 = Touched on it Briefly	258	17.6%	1.31	SD
3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing	327	22.4%		_
4 = Explored in Some Depth	387	26.5%		
5 = Extensive Opportunity	310	21.2%		
41c) Use representations (e.g., geometric representation, gr		73.0%		
lines) to show explicitly why a procedure works	DNR 542	27.0%		
1 = None	152	10.4%		Mean
2 = Touched on it Briefly	217	14.8%	1.29	SD
3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing	317	21.7%		
4 = Explored in Some Depth	416	28.4%		
5 = Extensive Opportunity	362	24.7%		
41d) Prove that a solution is valid or that a method works fo	rall cimilar 1464	73.0%		
		70.070		
cases	DNR 542	27.0%		
1 = None			3.34	Mean
1 = None 2 = Touched on it Briefly	DNR 542 163 224	27.0% 11.1% 15.3%	3.34 1.28	
1 = None	DNR 542 163	27.0% 11.1% 15.3% 23.8%		
1 = None 2 = Touched on it Briefly	DNR 542 163 224	27.0% 11.1% 15.3%		
1 = None 2 = Touched on it Briefly 3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing	DNR 542 163 224 348	27.0% 11.1% 15.3% 23.8%		
1 = None 2 = Touched on it Briefly 3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing 4 = Explored in Some Depth	DNR 542 163 224 348 413 316	27.0% 11.1% 15.3% 23.8% 28.2% 21.6%		
1 = None 2 = Touched on it Briefly 3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing 4 = Explored in Some Depth	DNR 542 163 224 348 413 316	27.0% 11.1% 15.3% 23.8% 28.2% 21.6%		
1 = None 2 = Touched on it Briefly 3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing 4 = Explored in Some Depth 5 = Extensive Opportunity 41e) Study, critique, or adapt math curriculum materials	DNR 542 163 224 348 413 316 1477 DNR 529	27.0% 11.1% 15.3% 23.8% 28.2% 21.6% 73.6%	1.28	SD
1 = None 2 = Touched on it Briefly 3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing 4 = Explored in Some Depth 5 = Extensive Opportunity 41e) Study, critique, or adapt math curriculum materials 1 = None	DNR 542 163 224 348 413 316 1477 DNR 529 118	27.0% 11.1% 15.3% 23.8% 28.2% 21.6% 73.6% 26.4% 8.0%	3.59	SD
1 = None 2 = Touched on it Briefly 3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing 4 = Explored in Some Depth 5 = Extensive Opportunity 41e) Study, critique, or adapt math curriculum materials 1 = None 2 = Touched on it Briefly	DNR 542 163 224 348 413 316 1477 DNR 529 118 175	27.0% 11.1% 15.3% 23.8% 28.2% 21.6% 73.6% 26.4% 8.0% 11.8%	1.28	SD
1 = None 2 = Touched on it Briefly 3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing 4 = Explored in Some Depth 5 = Extensive Opportunity 41e) Study, critique, or adapt math curriculum materials 1 = None 2 = Touched on it Briefly 3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing	DNR 542 163 224 348 413 316 1477 DNR 529 118 175 326	27.0% 11.1% 15.3% 23.8% 28.2% 21.6% 73.6% 8.0% 11.8% 22.1%	3.59	SD
1 = None 2 = Touched on it Briefly 3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing 4 = Explored in Some Depth 5 = Extensive Opportunity 41e) Study, critique, or adapt math curriculum materials 1 = None 2 = Touched on it Briefly 3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing 4 = Explored in Some Depth	DNR 542 163 224 348 413 316 1477 DNR 529 118 175 326 433	27.0% 11.1% 15.3% 23.8% 28.2% 21.6% 73.6% 26.4% 8.0% 11.8% 22.1% 29.3%	3.59	SD
1 = None 2 = Touched on it Briefly 3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing 4 = Explored in Some Depth 5 = Extensive Opportunity 41e) Study, critique, or adapt math curriculum materials 1 = None 2 = Touched on it Briefly 3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing	DNR 542 163 224 348 413 316 1477 DNR 529 118 175 326	27.0% 11.1% 15.3% 23.8% 28.2% 21.6% 73.6% 8.0% 11.8% 22.1%	3.59	SD
1 = None 2 = Touched on it Briefly 3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing 4 = Explored in Some Depth 5 = Extensive Opportunity 41e) Study, critique, or adapt math curriculum materials 1 = None 2 = Touched on it Briefly 3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing 4 = Explored in Some Depth	DNR 542 163 224 348 413 316 1477 DNR 529 118 175 326 433 425	27.0% 11.1% 15.3% 23.8% 28.2% 21.6% 73.6% 8.0% 11.8% 22.1% 29.3% 28.8%	3.59	SD
1 = None 2 = Touched on it Briefly 3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing 4 = Explored in Some Depth 5 = Extensive Opportunity 41e) Study, critique, or adapt math curriculum materials 1 = None 2 = Touched on it Briefly 3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing 4 = Explored in Some Depth	DNR 542 163 224 348 413 316 1477 DNR 529 118 175 326 433 425	27.0% 11.1% 15.3% 23.8% 28.2% 21.6% 73.6% 8.0% 11.8% 22.1% 29.3% 28.8%	3.59	SD
1 = None 2 = Touched on it Briefly 3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing 4 = Explored in Some Depth 5 = Extensive Opportunity 41e) Study, critique, or adapt math curriculum materials 1 = None 2 = Touched on it Briefly 3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing 4 = Explored in Some Depth 5 = Extensive Opportunity 41e) Study, critique, or adapt math curriculum materials	DNR 542 163 224 348 413 316 1477 DNR 529 118 175 326 433 425 1480 DNR 526	27.0% 11.1% 15.3% 23.8% 28.2% 21.6% 73.6% 8.0% 11.8% 22.1% 29.3% 28.8% 73.8%	3.59 1.24	Mean SD
1 = None 2 = Touched on it Briefly 3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing 4 = Explored in Some Depth 5 = Extensive Opportunity 41e) Study, critique, or adapt math curriculum materials 1 = None 2 = Touched on it Briefly 3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing 4 = Explored in Some Depth 5 = Extensive Opportunity 41e) Study, critique, or adapt math curriculum materials 1 = None	DNR 542 163 224 348 413 316 1477 DNR 529 118 175 326 433 425 1480 DNR 526 87	27.0% 11.1% 15.3% 23.8% 28.2% 21.6% 73.6% 26.4% 8.0% 11.8% 22.1% 29.3% 28.8% 73.8% 26.2% 5.9%	3.59 1.24	Mean SD
1 = None 2 = Touched on it Briefly 3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing 4 = Explored in Some Depth 5 = Extensive Opportunity 41e) Study, critique, or adapt math curriculum materials 1 = None 2 = Touched on it Briefly 3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing 4 = Explored in Some Depth 5 = Extensive Opportunity 41e) Study, critique, or adapt math curriculum materials 1 = None 2 = Touched on it Briefly 41e) Study, critique, or adapt math curriculum materials 1 = None 2 = Touched on it Briefly	DNR 542 163 224 348 413 316 1477 DNR 529 118 175 326 433 425 1480 DNR 526 87 176	27.0% 11.1% 15.3% 23.8% 28.2% 21.6% 73.6% 8.0% 11.8% 22.1% 29.3% 28.8% 73.8% 5.9% 11.9%	3.59 1.24	Mean SD
1 = None 2 = Touched on it Briefly 3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing 4 = Explored in Some Depth 5 = Extensive Opportunity 41e) Study, critique, or adapt math curriculum materials 1 = None 2 = Touched on it Briefly 3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing 4 = Explored in Some Depth 5 = Extensive Opportunity 41e) Study, critique, or adapt math curriculum materials 1 = None 2 = Touched on it Briefly 3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing 4 = Explored in Some Depth 5 = Extensive Opportunity	DNR 542 163 224 348 413 316 1477 DNR 529 118 175 326 433 425 1480 DNR 526 87 176 301	27.0% 11.1% 15.3% 23.8% 28.2% 21.6% 73.6% 8.0% 11.8% 22.1% 29.3% 28.8% 73.8% 5.9% 11.9% 20.3%	3.59 1.24	Mean SD
1 = None 2 = Touched on it Briefly 3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing 4 = Explored in Some Depth 5 = Extensive Opportunity 41e) Study, critique, or adapt math curriculum materials 1 = None 2 = Touched on it Briefly 3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing 4 = Explored in Some Depth 5 = Extensive Opportunity 41e) Study, critique, or adapt math curriculum materials 1 = None 2 = Touched on it Briefly 41e) Study, critique, or adapt math curriculum materials 1 = None 2 = Touched on it Briefly	DNR 542 163 224 348 413 316 1477 DNR 529 118 175 326 433 425 1480 DNR 526 87 176	27.0% 11.1% 15.3% 23.8% 28.2% 21.6% 73.6% 8.0% 11.8% 22.1% 29.3% 28.8% 73.8% 5.9% 11.9%	3.59 1.24	Mean SD

st Responses of "Don't Know" or variations on "N/A" are excluded from the percentage calculations.

Total survey respondents: 2006 Response rate: 95.6 %

		1478	73.7%		
11g) Adapt math less	sons for students with diverse needs and learning styles	DNR 528	26.3%		
	1 = None	73	4.9%	3.84	Mear
	2 = Touched on it Briefly	142	9.6%	1.17	
	3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing	278	18.8%		
	4 = Explored in Some Depth	434	29.4%		
	5 = Extensive Opportunity	551	37.3%		
		1478	73.7%		
1h) Practice what yo	ou learned about teaching math in your field experience	DNR 528	26.3%		
	1 = None	86	5.8%	3.81	Mea
	2 = Touched on it Briefly	137	9.3%	1.19	
	3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing	294	19.9%		
	4 = Explored in Some Depth	417	28.2%		
	5 = Extensive Opportunity	544	36.8%		
1i) Study national o	r state standards for mathematics	1474 DNR 532	73.5% 26.5%		
	1 = None	90	6.1%	3.69	Mea
	2 = Touched on it Briefly	145	9.8%	1.18	SD
	3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing	342	23.2%		
	4 = Explored in Some Depth	445	30.2%		
	5 = Extensive Opportunity	452	30.7%		
		452 1468	73.2%		
1j) Review local dist	5 = Extensive Opportunity crict mathematics curriculum				
1j) Review local dist		1468	73.2%	3.39	Mea
1j) Review local dist	crict mathematics curriculum	1468 DNR 538	73.2% 26.8%		
1j) Review local dist	trict mathematics curriculum $1 = \frac{\text{None}}{\text{None}}$	1468 DNR 538 173	73.2% 26.8% 11.8%	3.39 1.32	
1j) Review local dist	trict mathematics curriculum 1 = None 2 = Touched on it Briefly	1468 DNR 538 173 220	73.2% 26.8% 11.8% 15.0%		Mea. SD

General

42. Overall, how effective was your teacher preparation program at	1622	80.9%
developing the skills or tools you needed to become an education specialist?		19.1%
1 = Not at all effective	15	0.9%
2 = Somewhat effective	154	9.5%
3 = Effective	611	37.7%
4 = Very effective	842	51.9%

3.41 **Mean** 0.70 **SD**

Date: 1/30/2019

Demographics

42. August Higgs in a patient 2	1629	81.2%
43. Are you Hispanic or Latino?	DNR 377	18.8%
No, not Hispanic or Latino	1088	66.8%
Yes, Hispanic or Latino	541	33.2%

Total survey respondents: 2006 Response rate: 95.6 %

44. What is your race? (Se	lect all that apply)	1425	71.0%
		DNR 581	29.0%
	American Indian or Alaska Native	74	3.7%
	Chinese	22	1.1%
	Japanese	23	1.1%
	Korean	15	0.7%
	Vietnamese	15	0.7%
	Asian Indian	12	0.6%
	Laotian	5	0.2%
	Cambodian	3	0.1%
	Filipino	51	2.5%
	Hmong	2	0.1%
	Other Asian	19	0.9%
	Black or African American	108	5.4%
	Hawaiian	4	0.2%
	Guamanian	6	0.3%
	Samoan	2	0.1%
	Tahitian	0	0.0%
	Other Pacific Islander	9	0.4%
	White	1190	59.3%

45. What is your gender?

	1633	81.4%
	DNR 373	18.6%
Female	1237	75.8%
Male	372	22.8%
Decline to state	24	1.5%

Preliminary Educational Specialist Credential Program Completer Survey - 2018

Response Rate by IHE (Statewide completion rate is 95.6 %)

# Survey Requests Made	Comple	of Program eters who oonded	Name of Institution
56	54	96.43 %	ALLIANT INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY
10	10	100 %	ANTIOCH UNIVERSITY
75	72	96 %	AZUSA PACIFIC UNIVERSITY
1	1	100 %	BIOLA UNIVERSITY
123	119	96.75 %	BRANDMAN UNIVERSITY
32	32	100 %	CALIFORNIA BAPTIST UNIVERSITY
28	25	89.29 %	CALIFORNIA LUTHERAN UNIVERSITY
23	22	95.65 %	CALIFORNIA POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY, SAN LUIS OBISPO
36	33	91.67 %	CALIFORNIA STATE POLYTECHNIC UNIVERSITY, POMONA
53	52	98.11 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, BAKERSFIELD
12	12	100 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, CHANNEL ISLANDS
31	28	90.32 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, CHICO
70	69	98.57 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, DOMINGUEZ HILLS
21	21	100 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, EAST BAY
40	40	100 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, FRESNO
88	88	100 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, FULLERTON
61	61	100 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, LONG BEACH
24	23	95.83 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, LOS ANGELES
27	27	100 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, MONTEREY BAY
37	37	100 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, NORTHRIDGE
3	3	100 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, SACRAMENTO
22	22	100 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, SAN BERNARDINO
19	18	94.74 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, SAN MARCOS
5	5	100 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, STANISLAUS
20	18	90 %	CHAPMAN UNIVERSITY
8	8	100 %	CLAREMONT GRADUATE UNIVERSITY
8	8	100 %	CONCORDIA UNIVERSITY IRVINE
2	2	100 %	DOMINICAN UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
28	28	100 %	FRESNO PACIFIC UNIVERSITY
2	2	100 %	HIGH TECH HIGH
1	1	100 %	HOLY NAMES UNIVERSITY
3	3	100 %	HUMBOLDT STATE UNIVERSITY
19	19	100 %	LOS ANGELES COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
94	78	82.98 %	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
82	79	96.34 %	LOYOLA MARYMOUNT UNIVERSITY
3	3	100 %	MILLS COLLEGE
10	10	100 %	MOUNT SAINT MARY'S UNIVERSITY
15	15	100 %	MT. DIABLO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
409	397	97.07 %	NATIONAL UNIVERSITY
14	13	92.86 %	NOTRE DAME DE NAMUR UNIVERSITY
5	4	80 %	PACIFIC OAKS COLLEGE
78	72	92.31 %	POINT LOMA NAZARENE UNIVERSITY
54	54	100 %	SAN DIEGO STATE UNIVERSITY
30	29	96.67 %	SAN FRANCISCO STATE UNIVERSITY

Preliminary Educational Specialist Credential Program Completer Survey - 2018

Response Rate by IHE (Statewide completion rate is 95.6 %)

# Survey Requests Made	# and % of Program Completers who Responded		Name of Institution
18	15	83.33 %	SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
3	1	33.33 %	SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
6	5	83.33 %	SAN JOSE STATE UNIVERSITY
10	10	100 %	SANTA CLARA COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
8	8	100 %	SONOMA STATE UNIVERSITY
19	18	94.74 %	ST. MARY'S COLLEGE OF CALIFORNIA
88	72	81.82 %	TEACHERS COLLEGE OF SAN JOAQUIN
14	13	92.86 %	TOURO UNIVERSITY
32	31	96.88 %	TULARE COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
3	3	100 %	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
13	13	100 %	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE
4	4	100 %	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO
4	4	100 %	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA
24	24	100 %	UNIVERSITY OF LA VERNE
18	18	100 %	UNIVERSITY OF REDLANDS
8	8	100 %	UNIVERSITY OF SAN DIEGO
14	13	92.86 %	UNIVERSITY OF SAN FRANCISCO
11	11	100 %	UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
17	16	94.12 %	UNIVERSITY OF THE PACIFIC
2	2	100 %	WHITTIER COLLEGE